



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,328	08/28/2003	Amy H. Kang	5681-69401	7788
58467	7590	07/21/2009		
MHKKG/SUN				
P.O. BOX 398				
AUSTIN, TX 78767				
EXAMINER				
WANG, RONGFA PHILIP				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2191				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/21/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent_docketing@intprop.com

ptomhkk@gmail.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/651,328

Applicant(s)

KANG ET AL.

Examiner

PHILIP WANG

Art Unit

2191

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PHILIP WANG.(3) Robert Kowert(39,255).(2) Wei Zhen(SPE).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 7/7/2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Srivastava(2005/0160431), H5E-A.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant represented proposed amendment to claim 1 and discussed how the proposed amendment would overcome the recited prior art. The examiner acknowledged the amendment has further detailed the invention and may possibly overcome the combined prior art, however further search and consideration will be required for a conclusion.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Wei Y Zhen/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191